e/F/0020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. No. 1634 /2001

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER : Satish Kumar Agrawal,aged 33 years S/o S.R. Agrawal,R/o Station Raod, Sakti & Sheorinarayan,Tahsil Sakti Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Vs

RESPONDENATS :

1. Indion Oil Corporation Limited Through Chairman New Delhi.
2. The Executive Director, Sales Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. G-9, Aliyaver Jang Marg, Bandra East, Mumbai.
3. The General Manager Indion Oil Corporation Limited Zonal Office, Bhopal
4. The Senior Divisional Manager Indion Oil Corporation Limited (Regional Office), Raipur.
5. The Manager (R) Indian Oil Corporation Ltd Raipur.
6. The Dy. Manager (R) Indian Oil Corporation Ltd Bilaspur.

उपयुक्त आयुक्त, संभाग बिलासपुर, बिलासपुर तहसीलदार

P. R. No. 1253/17 ... by Shri R.L. Agrawal ... dated 13.3.17

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER
As set-out in the cause title above.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS
As set-out in the cause title above.

Contd.....2

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(117)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1634/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15/11/2002

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Bhisma Kingar, counsel for the respondents.

In view of the limited controversy involved in this petition, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this petition pending as the same can be disposed of at the admission stage.

Admittedly, the petitioner was permitted to operate a petrol pump in question as Job Contractor, as the petrol pump in question was 'company owned and company operated'. It appears that on an apprehension that contrary to the agreement, his contract may be terminated the petitioner had approached this Court praying for an appropriate writ, direction or order restraining the respondents from interfering with job contract during the period of contract, which was to remain in force up to 31-8-2002. This Court had, on 03-9-2001, passed an interim order restraining the respondents from dispossessing him and also directed the respondents to renew the contract for a further period of one year in terms of the agreement. It is not

— 2 —

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	disputed that the period of contract came to an end on 31-8-2002. In the above view of the matter, the contractual period having come to an end, nothing survives in this writ petition, which stands disposed of as having become infructuous by afflux of time. Consequently M.W.P. Nos.225/01 & 1133/02 and I.A. Nos.3330/01, 6041/02, 6701/02 & 7228/02 are also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules	**Sd/-** **P.C.Naik** **Judge**
Roshan		